

Algebras and groups defined by permutation relations of alternating type *

Ferran Cedó Eric Jespers Jan Okniński

Abstract

The class of finitely presented algebras over a field K with a set of generators $a_1, \dots, a_n$ and defined by homogeneous relations of the form $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}$, where σ runs through Alt_n , the alternating group, is considered. The associated group, defined by the same (group) presentation, is described. A description of the radical of the algebra is found. It turns out that the radical is a finitely generated ideal that is nilpotent and it is determined by a congruence on the underlying monoid, defined by the same presentation.

Keywords: semigroup ring, finitely presented, semigroup, Jacobson radical, semiprimitive

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16S15, 16S36, 20M05; Secondary 20M25, 16N20

1 Introduction

In recent literature a lot of attention is given to concrete classes of finitely presented algebras A over a field K defined by homogeneous semigroup relations, that is, relations of the form $w = v$, where w and v are words of the same length in a generating set of the algebra. Of course such an algebra is a semigroup algebra $K[S]$, where S is the monoid generated by the same presentation. Particular classes show up in different areas of research. For example, algebras yielding set theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation (see for example [7, 9, 10, 12, 18]) or algebras related to Young diagrams, representation theory and algebraic combinatorics (see for example [1, 5, 8, 11, 14]). In all the mentioned algebras there are strong connections between the structure of the algebra $K[S]$, the underlying semigroup S and the underlying group G , defined by the same presentation as the algebra.

*Research partially supported by grants of MICIN-FEDER (Spain) MTM2008-06201-C02-01, Generalitat de Catalunya 2005SGR00206, Onderzoeksrade of Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (Belgium), Flemish-Polish bilateral agreement BIL2005/VUB/06 and MNiSW research grant N201 004 32/0088 (Poland).

In [3] the authors introduced and initiated a study of combinatorial and algebraic aspects of the following new class of finitely presented algebras over a field K :

$$A = K\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \mid a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}, \sigma \in H \rangle,$$

where H is a subset of the symmetric group Sym_n of degree n . So $A = K[S_n(H)]$ where

$$S_n(H) = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \mid a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}, \sigma \in H \rangle,$$

the monoid with the “same” presentation as the algebra. By $G_n(H)$ we denote the group defined by this presentation. So

$$G_n(H) = \text{gr}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \mid a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}, \sigma \in H).$$

Two obvious examples are: the free K -algebra $K[S_n(\{1\})] = K\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ with $H = \{1\}$ and $S_n(\{1\}) = \text{FM}_n$, the rank n free monoid, and the commutative polynomial algebra $K[S_2(\text{Sym}_2)] = K[a_1, a_2]$ with $H = \text{Sym}_2$ and $S_n(H) = \text{FaM}_2$, the rank 2 free abelian monoid. For $M = S_n(\text{Sym}_n)$, the latter can be extended as follows ([3, Proposition 3.1]): the algebra $K[M]$ is the subdirect product of the commutative polynomial algebra $K[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ and a primitive monomial algebra that is isomorphic to $K[M]/K[Mz]$, with $z = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$, a central element.

On the other hand, let $M = S_n(H)$ where $H = \text{gr}(\{(1, 2, \dots, n)\})$, a cyclic group of order n . Then ([3, Theorem 2.2]) the monoid M is cancellative and it has a group G of fractions of the form $G = M\langle a_1 \cdots a_n \rangle^{-1} \cong F \times C$, where $F = \text{gr}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ is a free group of rank $n-1$ and $C = \text{gr}(a_1 \cdots a_n)$ is a cyclic infinite group. The algebra $K[M]$ is a domain and it is semiprimitive. Moreover ([3, Theorem 2.1]), a normal form of elements of the algebra can be given. It is worthwhile mentioning that the group G is an example of a cyclically presented group. Such groups arise in a very natural way as fundamental groups of certain 3-manifolds [6], and their algebraic structure also receives a lot of attention; for a recent work and some references see for example [2].

In this paper we continue the investigations on the algebras $K[S_n(H)]$ and the groups $G_n(H)$. First we will prove some general results and next will give a detailed account in case H is the alternating group Alt_n of degree n . It turns out that the structure of the group $G_n(H)$ can be completely determined and the algebra $K[S_n(H)]$ has some remarkable properties. In order to state our main result we fix some notation. Throughout the paper K is a field. If $b_1, \dots, b_m$ are elements of a monoid M then we denote by $\langle b_1, \dots, b_m \rangle$ the submonoid generated by $b_1, \dots, b_m$. If M is a group then $\text{gr}(b_1, \dots, b_m)$ denotes the subgroup of M generated by $b_1, \dots, b_m$. Clearly, the defining relations of an arbitrary $S_n(H)$ are homogeneous. Hence, it has a natural degree or length function. This will be used freely throughout the paper. By $\rho = \rho_S$ we denote the least cancellative congruence on a semigroup S . If η is a congruence on S then $I(\eta) = \text{lin}\{s - t \mid s, t \in M, (s, t) \in \eta\}$ is the kernel of the natural epimorphism $K[S] \longrightarrow K[S/\eta]$. For a ring R , we denote by $\mathcal{J}(R)$ its Jacobson radical and by $\mathcal{B}(R)$ its prime radical. Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose K is a field and $n \geq 4$. Let $M = S_n(\text{Alt}_n)$, $z = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in M$ and $G = G_n(\text{Alt}_n)$. The following properties hold.*

- (i) $C = \{1, a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1}\}$ is a nontrivial central subgroup of G and G/C is a free abelian group of rank n . Moreover $D = \langle a_i^2 \mid i = 1, \dots, n \rangle$ is a central subgroup of G with $G/(CD) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$.
- (ii) $K[G]$ is a noetherian algebra satisfying a polynomial identity (PI, for short). If K has characteristic $\neq 2$, then $\mathcal{J}(K[G]) = 0$. If K has characteristic 2, then $\mathcal{J}(K[G]) = (1 - a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1})K[G]$ and $\mathcal{J}(K[G])^2 = 0$.
- (iii) The element z^2 is central in M and $z^2 M$ is a cancellative ideal of M such that $G \cong (z^2 M) \langle z^2 \rangle^{-1}$. Furthermore, $K[M/\rho]$ is a noetherian PI-algebra and $\mathcal{J}(K[M])$ is nilpotent.
- (iv) Suppose n is odd. Then z is central in M and $0 \neq \mathcal{J}(K[M]) = I(\eta)$ for a congruence η on M and $\mathcal{J}(K[M])$ is a finitely generated ideal.
- (v) Suppose n is even and $n \geq 6$. If K has characteristic $\neq 2$, then $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) = 0$. If K has characteristic 2, then $0 \neq \mathcal{J}(K[M]) = I(\eta)$ for a congruence η on M and $\mathcal{J}(K[M])$ is a finitely generated ideal.

Part (v) of Theorem 1.1 is also true for $n = 4$, but its proof is quite long for this case and it requires additional technical lemmas. (The interested reader can find a proof of this in [4]).

So, in particular, the Jacobson radical is determined by a congruence relation on the semigroup $S_n(\text{Alt}_n)$, it is nilpotent and finitely generated as an ideal. In [3] the question was asked whether these properties hold for all algebras $K[S_n(H)]$, for subgroups H of Sym_n .

2 General results

In this section we prove some preparatory general properties of the monoid algebra $K[S_n(H)]$ for an arbitrary subset H of Sym_n with $n \geq 3$. To simplify notation, throughout this section we put

$$M = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \mid a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}, \sigma \in H \rangle \quad (1)$$

If $\alpha = \sum_{x \in M} k_x x \in K[M]$, with each $k_x \in K$, then the finite set $\{x \in M \mid k_x \neq 0\}$ we denote by $\text{supp}(\alpha)$. It is called the support of α .

Proposition 2.1 *Suppose that there exists k such that, for all $\sigma \in H$, $\sigma(1) \neq k$ and $\sigma(n) \neq k$. Then $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) \neq 0$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}(K[M])$ be a nonzero element. Because, by assumption, $\sigma(1) \neq k$ for all $\sigma \in H$, we clearly get that $a_k^2 \alpha \neq 0$. As $a_k^2 \alpha \in \mathcal{J}(K[M])$, there exists $\beta \in K[M]$ such that $a_k^2 \alpha + \beta + \beta a_k^2 \alpha = 0$. Obviously, $\beta \notin K$. Let α_1, β_1 be the homogeneous components (for the

natural $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation of $K[M]$ of α and β of maximum degree respectively. Then $\beta_1 a_k^2 \alpha_1 = 0$. In particular, there exist w_1, w_2 in the support of β_1 and w'_1, w'_2 in the support of α_1 such that

$$w_1 a_k^2 w'_1 = w_2 a_k^2 w'_2$$

and either $w_1 \neq w_2$ or $a_k^2 w'_1 \neq a_k^2 w'_2$. But, because $\sigma(n) \neq k$ for all $\sigma \in H$, this is impossible. Therefore $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) = 0$. ■

Corollary 2.2 *If H is a subgroup of Sym_n and $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) \neq 0$ then H is a transitive subgroup of Sym_n .*

Proof. Suppose that H is a subgroup of Sym_n and $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) \neq 0$. By Proposition 2.1, for all k there exist $\sigma \in H$ such that either $\sigma(1) = k$ or $\sigma(n) = k$. Suppose that H is not transitive. Then there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $j \notin \{\sigma(1) \mid \sigma \in H\}$. Hence there exists $\sigma \in H$ such that $\sigma(n) = j$. Thus the orbits $I_1 = \{\sigma(1) \mid \sigma \in H\}$ and $I_2 = \{\sigma(n) \mid \sigma \in H\}$ are disjoint nonempty sets such that $I_1 \cup I_2 = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. So, there are no defining relations of the form $a_1 \cdots = a_n \cdots$, nor of the form $\cdots a_1 = \cdots a_n$. Consequently, if $0 \neq \alpha \in K[M]$ then $a_n^2 \alpha \neq 0$ and $\alpha a_1^2 \neq 0$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}(K[M])$ be a nonzero element. Then, $a_1^2 a_n^2 \alpha \neq 0$, and there exists $\beta \in K[M]$ such that $a_1^2 a_n^2 \alpha + \beta + \beta a_1^2 a_n^2 \alpha = 0$. Clearly, it follows that $\beta \notin K$. Let α_1, β_1 be the homogeneous components of α and β of maximum degree respectively. We obtain that $\beta_1 a_1^2 a_n^2 \alpha_1 = 0$. In particular, there exist w_1, w_2 in the support of β_1 and w'_1, w'_2 in the support of α_1 such that $(w_1, w'_1) \neq (w_2, w'_2)$ and

$$w_1 a_1^2 a_n^2 w'_1 = w_2 a_1^2 a_n^2 w'_2.$$

Again, because there are no defining relations of the form $a_1 \cdots = a_n \cdots$ nor of the form $\cdots a_1 = \cdots a_n$, this yields a contradiction. Therefore H is transitive. ■

Let $z = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in M$. The fact that z is central in $M = S_n(H)$ for the case of the cyclic group H generated by $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ was an important tool in [3]. In Section 4 we will show that z^2 is central if $M = S_n(\text{Alt}_n)$. In the following properties we show that the centrality of z^m , for some positive integer m , has some impact on the algebraic structure of M and $K[M]$. But first we determine when z is central in case H is a subgroup of Sym_n .

Proposition 2.3 *Suppose H is a subgroup of Sym_n and put $z = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) z is central in $M = S_n(H)$,
- (ii) $a_1 z = z a_1$,
- (iii) H contains the subgroup of Sym_n generated by the cycle $(1, 2, \dots, n)$.

Proof. Let H_0 denote the subgroup of Sym_n generated by the cycle $(1, 2, \dots, n)$. Assume $H_0 \subseteq H$. Then $M = S_n(H)$ is an epimorphic image of $S_n(H_0)$. As $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is central in $S_n(H_0)$, it follows that z indeed is central in M .

Assume now that $a_1 z = z a_1$. We need to show that $H_0 \subseteq H$. Every defining relation can be written in the form: $z = a_k c_k$, with $1 \leq k \leq n$, $c_k = \prod_{i=1, i \neq k} a_{\tau(i)}$, $\tau \in \text{Sym}(\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k\}) \subseteq \text{Sym}_n$. By assumption, $a_1^2 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_1 z = z a_1 = a_k c_k a_1$. Since $a_k c_k$ is a product of distinct generators, there must exist a relation of the form $c_k a_1 = z$. Since also z is a product of distinct generators, it follows that $k = 1$. Thus $z = a_1 c_1 = c_1 a_1$. The former equality yields that $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n-1 & n \\ 1 & \tau(2) & \dots & \tau(n-1) & \tau(n) \end{pmatrix} \in H$ and the equality $z = c_1 a_1$ gives $\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n-1 & n \\ \tau(2) & \tau(3) & \dots & \tau(n) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H$. Hence $(1, 2, \dots, n) = \sigma_1 \sigma_2^{-1} \in H$ and so $H_0 \subseteq H$. The result follows. ■

Assume now that z^m is central, for some positive integer m . Note that then the binary relation ρ' on M , defined by $s \rho' t$ if and only if there exists a nonnegative integer i such that $sz^i = tz^i$, is a congruence on M . We now show that $G_n(H)$ is the group of fractions of $\overline{M} = M/\rho'$. We denote by $\bar{a}$ the image in $\overline{M}$ of $a \in M$ under the natural map $M \rightarrow \overline{M}$.

Lemma 2.4 *Suppose that z^m is central for some positive integer m . Then, $\rho' = \rho$ is the least cancellative congruence on M and $Ma \cap aM \cap \langle z^m \rangle \neq \emptyset$ for every $a \in M$.*

In particular, $\overline{M} = M/\rho$ is a cancellative monoid and $G = \overline{M} \langle \bar{z}^{-m} \rangle$ is the group of fractions of $\overline{M}$. Moreover, $G \cong G_n(H) = \text{gr}(a_1, \dots, a_n \mid a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}, \sigma \in H)$.

Proof. Since z^m is central, we already know that the binary relation ρ' is a congruence on M . Let $a = a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_k} \in M$. We shall prove that $aM \cap \langle z^m \rangle \neq \emptyset$ by induction on k . For $k = 0$, this is clear. Suppose that $k > 0$ and that $bM \cap \langle z^m \rangle \neq \emptyset$ for all $b \in M$ of degree less than k . Thus there exists $r \in M$ such that $a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{k-1}} r \in \langle z^m \rangle$. Since $a_{i_k} z^m = z^m a_{i_k}$, it follows easily from the type of the defining relations for M that there exists $w \in M$ such that $a_{i_k} w = z$. We thus get that $awz^{m-1}r = a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{k-1}} z^m r = a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{k-1}} r z^m \in \langle z^m \rangle$. Similarly we see that $Ma \cap \langle z^m \rangle \neq \emptyset$. Therefore ρ' is the least cancellative congruence on M and $\overline{M} \langle \bar{z}^{-m} \rangle$ is the group of fractions of $\overline{M}$ and the second assertion also follows. ■

Proposition 2.5 *Suppose that z^m is central for some positive integer m . Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in I(\rho) \cap K[Mz^m]$. Then the ideal $\sum_{i=1}^k K[M]\alpha_i K[M]$ is nilpotent. In particular, $I(\rho) \cap K[Mz^m] \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K[M])$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in I(\rho) \cap K[Mz^m]$. Clearly there exists a positive integer N such that $\alpha_i z^{mN} = 0$, for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Since z^m is central and $\alpha_i \in K[Mz^m]$, we have that $(\sum_{i=1}^k K[M]\alpha_i K[M])^{N+1} = 0$ and the result follows. ■

Proposition 2.6 *The following properties hold.*

- (i) $\mathcal{J}(K[M]/K[MzM]) = 0$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) \subseteq K[Mz \cup zM]$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{J}(K[M])^3 \subseteq K[Mz^2MzM \cup MzMz^2M] \subseteq K[Mz^2M]$.

If, furthermore, z^m is central for some positive integer m , Mz^kM is cancellative and $\text{char}(K) = 0$ then $K[Mz^kM]$ has no nonzero nil ideal. In particular, $\mathcal{B}(K[Mz^kM]) = 0$. Furthermore, if $k = 2$ then $\mathcal{B}(K[M])^3 = 0$.

Proof. To prove the first part, let X be the free monoid with basis $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$. Then

$$K[M]/K[MzM] \cong K[X]/K[J],$$

where $J = \bigcup_{\sigma \in H \cup \{1\}} Xx_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}X$. Note that X/J has no nonzero nilideal. Hence, by [15, Corollary 24.7], $K[M]/K[MzM]$ is semiprimitive. Therefore $\mathcal{J}(K[M]/K[MzM]) = 0$.

To prove the second and third part, suppose that $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i s_i \in \mathcal{J}(K[M])$, with $\text{supp}(\alpha) = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ of cardinality m and $\lambda_i \in K$, is a homogeneous element (with respect to the gradation defined by the natural length function on M). Then α is nilpotent (see for example [17, Theorem 22.6]). Suppose that $s_1 \notin zM$ and $s_1 \notin Mz$. Let i, j be such that $s_1 \in a_iM \cap Ma_j$. Then, for every $k \geq 1$, the element $(s_1 a_j a_i)^k = s_1 a_j a_i s_1 a_j a_i \cdots$ can only be rewritten in M in the form $(s' a_j a_i)^k$, where $s' \in M$ is such that $s' = s_1$. Therefore, $\alpha a_j a_i \in \mathcal{J}(K[M])$ is not nilpotent, a contradiction. It follows that s_1 , and similarly every $s_i \in Mz \cup zM$. Again by [17, Theorem 22.6]), we know that $\mathcal{J}(K[M])$ is a homogeneous ideal. This implies that $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) \subseteq K[Mz \cup zM]$. Hence $\mathcal{J}(K[M])^3 \subseteq Mz\mathcal{J}(K[M])zM \subseteq K[Mz^2MzM \cup MzMz^2M]$. This finishes the proof of statements (ii) and (iii).

To prove the last part, assume $\text{char}(K) = 0$, Mz^kM is cancellative and z^m is central for some positive integer m . Since $a_i z^m = z^m a_i$, it follows from the type of the defining relations for M that $z \in a_iM \cap Ma_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence, by Lemma 2.4, we know that Mz^kM has a group of fractions G (that is obtained by inverting the powers of the central element z^{km}). Let I be a nil ideal of $K[Mz^kM]$. Then $K[G]IK[G] = I\langle z^{-km} \rangle$ is a nil ideal of $K[G]$. Since, by assumption, $\text{char}(K) = 0$, we know from [16, Theorem 2.3.1] that then $I = 0$. So, if $k = 2$ then, by the first part of the result, $\mathcal{B}(K[M])^3 \subseteq K[Mz^2M] \cap \mathcal{B}(K[M])$. Since $K[Mz^2M] \cap \mathcal{B}(K[M])$ is a nil ideal of $K[Mz^2M]$, the result follows. ■

Corollary 2.7 *Suppose z is central. The following properties hold.*

- (i) If $\mathcal{J}(K[\overline{M}]) = 0$ then $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) = I(\rho) \cap K[Mz]$.
- (ii) If $\mathcal{B}(K[\overline{M}]) = 0$ then $\mathcal{B}(K[M]) = I(\rho) \cap K[Mz]$.

(iii) If $\mathcal{B}(K[M]) = 0$ then Mz is cancellative. The converse holds provided $\text{char}(K) = 0$.

Proof. (i) By Proposition 2.6, $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) \subseteq K[Mz]$. Note that $K[\overline{M}] = K[M/\rho] = K[M]/I(\rho)$. Hence, if $\mathcal{J}(K[\overline{M}]) = 0$, we get that $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) \subseteq I(\rho) \cap K[Mz]$. By Proposition 2.5 we thus obtain that $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) = I(\rho) \cap K[Mz]$.

(ii) If $K[\overline{M}]$ is semiprime, then, by Proposition 2.6, $\mathcal{B}(K[M]) \subseteq I(\rho) \cap K[Mz]$. Thus, by Proposition 2.5, $\mathcal{B}(K[M]) = I(\rho) \cap K[Mz]$.

(iii) Because of Proposition 2.5, we know that $I(\rho) \cap K[Mz] \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K[M])$. Suppose now that $\mathcal{B}(K[M]) = 0$. Then, ρ restricted to Mz must be the trivial relation, i.e., Mz is cancellative. Conversely, assume that $\text{char}(K) = 0$ and Mz is cancellative. Then, by Proposition 2.6, $\mathcal{B}(K[M])$ is a nil ideal of $K[Mz]$, and thus $\mathcal{B}(K[M]) = 0$, as desired. ■

3 The monoid $S_n(\text{Alt}_n)$

In this section we investigate the monoid $S_n(\text{Alt}_n)$ with $n \geq 4$. The information obtained is essential to prove our main result, Theorem 1.1. Note that the cycle $(1, 2, \dots, n) \in \text{Alt}_n$ if and only if n is odd. Hence by Proposition 2.3, $z = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is central if and only if n is odd. However, for arbitrary n , we will show that z^2 is central and that the ideal $S_n(\text{Alt}_n)z^2$ is cancellative as a semigroup and we also will determine the structure of its group of fractions $G_n(\text{Alt}_n)$. This information will be useful to determine the radical of the algebra $K[S_n(\text{Alt}_n)]$.

Throughout this section $n \geq 4$, $M = S_n(\text{Alt}_n)$ and $G = G_n(\text{Alt}_n)$. Let $\sigma \in \text{Alt}_n$. Since the set of defining relations of M (of G , respectively) is σ -invariant, σ determines the automorphism of M (of G respectively) defined by $\sigma(a_{i_1}^{n_1} \cdots a_{i_m}^{n_m}) = a_{\sigma(i_1)}^{n_1} \cdots a_{\sigma(i_m)}^{n_m}$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $z = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in M$.*

- (i) *If $n \geq 4$ then $a_i a_j z = z a_i a_j$, for any different integers $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.*
- (ii) *If $n \geq 5$ then $a_i a_j a_k z = a_j a_k a_i z$ and $z a_i a_j a_k = z a_j a_k a_i$, for any three different integers $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$.*
- (iii) *If $n = 4$ and $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ are three different integers then*
 - 1. *if $a_i a_j a_k a_l = z$ then $a_i a_j a_k z = a_j a_k a_i z = a_k a_i a_j z = z a_k a_j a_i$,*
 - 2. *if $a_l a_i a_j a_k = z$ then $z a_i a_j a_k = z a_j a_k a_i = z a_k a_i a_j = a_k a_j a_i z$.*
- (iv) *If $n \geq 6$ is even then $a_i a_j a_k z = z a_j a_i a_k$, for any three different integers $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$.*

Proof. (i) If $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ are different then there exists $\sigma \in \text{Alt}_n$ such that $\sigma(1) = i$ and $\sigma(2) = j$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} a_i a_j z &= a_i a_j \sigma(1, 2, \dots, n)^2 (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) \\ &= a_i a_j a_{\sigma(3)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)} a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} = z a_i a_j. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) and (iv) Suppose that $n \geq 5$. In this case, for any three different integers $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ there exists $\sigma \in \text{Alt}_n$ such that $\sigma(1) = i, \sigma(2) = j, \sigma(3) = k$. Let $\tau = \tau_n \in \text{Sym}_n$ be defined by $\tau = id$ if n is odd, and $\tau = (i, j)$ if n is even. So $\tau\sigma(1, 2, \dots, n)^3 \in \text{Alt}_n$. Hence in M we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_i a_j a_k z &= a_i a_j a_k \tau\sigma(1, 2, \dots, n)^3 (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) \\ &= (a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} a_{\sigma(3)}) (a_{\sigma(4)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)} a_{\tau(i)} a_{\tau(j)} a_k) \\ &= \sigma(z) a_{\tau(i)} a_{\tau(j)} a_k. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, (iv) follows. Since $(1, 2, 3) \in \text{Alt}_n$, this yields

$$\begin{aligned} a_i a_j a_k z &= (\sigma(1, 2, 3) (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)) a_{\tau(i)} a_{\tau(j)} a_k \\ &= (a_j a_k a_i) a_{\sigma(4)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)} a_{\tau(i)} a_{\tau(j)} a_k = a_j a_k a_i z. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one proves that

$$z a_i a_j a_k = z a_j a_k a_i,$$

for $n \geq 5$.

(iii) Suppose that $n = 4$. Let $\{i, j, k, l\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then either $a_i a_j a_k a_l = z$ or $a_l a_i a_j a_k = z$. If $a_i a_j a_k a_l = z$, then

$$z = a_i a_j a_k a_l = a_j a_k a_i a_l = a_k a_i a_j a_l,$$

and, since $z \in a_l M$, we get

$$a_i a_j a_k z = a_j a_k a_i z = a_k a_i a_j z.$$

Clearly, $a_i a_j a_k z = a_i a_j a_k (a_l a_k a_j a_i) = z a_k a_j a_i$.

Similarly, if $a_l a_i a_j a_k = z$, we get

$$z a_i a_j a_k = z a_j a_k a_i = z a_k a_i a_j = (a_k a_j a_i a_l) a_k a_i a_j = a_k a_j a_i z.$$

■

Lemma 3.2 Let $z = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in M$. Then z^2 is central in M .

Proof. If $n \geq 6$ and n is even then

$$\begin{aligned} z^2 a_1 &= z a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n a_1 = a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 z a_5 \cdots a_n a_1 \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.1.(i)}) \\ &= a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 ((1, 5)(2, 3)(2, 3, \dots, n)^3 (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)) a_5 \cdots a_n a_1 \\ &= a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 (a_5 a_1 a_6 \cdots a_n a_3 a_2 a_4) a_5 \cdots a_n a_1 \\ &= a_1 ((1, 2, 3, 4, 5)(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)) (2, 3)(1, 2, \dots, n) (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) \\ &= a_1 z^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $n = 4$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 z^2 &= a_1(a_3 a_4 a_1 a_2)z = a_1 a_3 a_4 z a_1 a_2 \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.1}) \\
&= a_1 a_3 a_4 (a_2 a_3 a_1 a_4) a_1 a_2 = z a_3 a_1 a_4 a_1 a_2 \\
&= a_3 a_1 z a_4 a_1 a_2 \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.1}) \\
&= a_3 a_1 (a_2 a_1 a_4 a_3) a_4 a_1 a_2 = a_3 a_1 a_2 a_1 a_4 (a_3 a_2 a_4 a_1) \\
&= a_3 a_1 z a_2 a_4 a_1 = z a_3 a_1 a_2 a_4 a_1 \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.1}) \\
&= z^2 a_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since Alt_n is transitive, we get that z^2 is central for all even n . Since z is central in M for all odd n , the assertion follows. ■

Lemma 3.3 *For $n = 4$, $a_1 a_2 a_4 a_3 z = \sigma(a_1 a_2 a_4 a_3)z$, for all $\sigma \in \text{Alt}_4$, and it is central in M . In particular, $\sigma(z)z = z\sigma(z) = z\gamma(z) = \gamma(z)z$ for any $\sigma, \gamma \in \text{Sym}_4$ of the same parity.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$a_1 a_2 a_4 a_3 z = a_1(a_3 a_2 a_4)z = a_1(a_4 a_3 a_2)z,$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 a_2 a_4 a_3 z &= z a_1 a_2 a_4 a_3 = z(a_2 a_4 a_1) a_3 = a_2 a_4 a_1 a_3 z, \\
a_1 a_2 a_4 a_3 z &= z a_1 a_2 a_4 a_3 = z(a_4 a_1 a_2) a_3 = a_4 a_1 a_2 a_3 z, \\
a_1 a_2 a_4 a_3 z &= a_1 a_3 a_2 a_4 z = z a_1 a_3 a_2 a_4 = z(a_3 a_2 a_1) a_4 = a_3 a_2 a_1 a_4 z.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $a_1 a_2 a_4 a_3 z = \sigma(a_1 a_2 a_4 a_3)z$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Alt}_4$. In particular, $\sigma(z)z = \gamma(z)z$ for odd permutations σ, γ . Of course such an equality also holds if γ, σ are even. Note that, because of Lemma 3.1, $z\sigma(z) = \sigma(z)z$ for any permutations σ .

In order to prove that $a_1 a_2 a_4 a_3 z$ is central we only need to show that $a_1 a_2 a_4 a_3 z a_1 = a_1 a_1 a_2 a_4 a_3 z$. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 a_2 a_4 a_3 z a_1 &= a_1 a_2 z a_4 a_3 a_1 = a_1 a_2 z(a_1 a_4 a_3) \\
&= a_1 a_2 a_1 a_4 z a_3 = a_1(a_1 a_4 a_2)z a_3 \\
&= a_1 a_1 z a_4 a_2 a_3 = a_1 a_1(a_2 a_4 a_3 a_1) a_4 a_2 a_3 \\
&= a_1 a_1 a_2 a_4 a_3 z.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 3.4 *Let $z = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in M$.*

- (i) *If $n \geq 6$ is even then $a_i^2 a_j(a_k a_l a_r z) = a_j a_i^2(a_k a_l a_r z)$ and $a_i a_j a_i a_j(a_k a_l a_r z) = a_j a_i a_j a_i(a_k a_l a_r z)$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and for any three different integers $1 \leq k, l, r \leq n$.*

(ii) If $n \geq 4$, then $a_i^2 a_j z^2 = a_j a_i^2 z^2$ and $a_i a_j a_i a_j z^2 = a_j a_i a_j a_i z^2$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $n \geq 6$ is even. Applying Lemma 3.1 several times, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 a_3 z &= a_1 a_1 a_2 (a_3 a_1 a_2 z) = a_1 a_1 a_2 (z a_3 a_2 a_1) \\
&= a_1 (z a_1 a_2) a_3 a_2 a_1 = a_1 (z a_2 a_3 a_1) a_2 a_1 \\
&= a_1 (a_2 a_3 z) a_1 a_2 a_1 = (z a_2 a_1 a_3) a_1 a_2 a_1 \\
&= (a_2 a_1 z) a_3 a_1 a_2 a_1 = a_2 a_1 (z a_1 a_2 a_3) a_1 \\
&= a_2 a_1 (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_1 z) = a_2 a_1 a_1 (a_1 a_2 a_3 z), \\
\\
a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 a_3 z &= a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 (z a_3 a_2 a_1) = a_1 a_2 (z a_1 a_2) a_3 a_2 a_1 \\
&= a_1 a_2 (a_3 a_2 a_1 z) a_2 a_1 = a_1 a_2 a_3 (z a_2 a_1) a_2 a_1 \\
&= (z a_2 a_1 a_3) a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1 = (a_2 a_1 z) a_3 a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1 \\
&= a_2 a_1 (z a_2 a_1 a_3) a_2 a_1 = a_2 a_1 (a_2 a_1 z) a_3 a_2 a_1 \\
&= a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1 (a_1 a_2 a_3 z)
\end{aligned}$$

and, for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{3, 4\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 a_1 a_2 a_i a_3 a_4 z &= a_1 a_1 a_2 (a_3 a_4 a_i z) = a_1 a_1 a_2 a_3 (z a_4 a_i) \\
&= a_1 (z a_2 a_1 a_3) a_4 a_i = a_1 (z a_3 a_2 a_1) a_4 a_i \\
&= a_1 (a_3 a_2 z) a_1 a_4 a_i = (a_2 a_1 a_3 z) a_1 a_4 a_i \\
&= a_2 a_1 a_3 (a_1 a_4 z) a_i = a_2 a_1 (a_1 a_4 a_3 z) a_i \\
&= a_2 a_1 a_1 (z a_4 a_3) a_i = a_2 a_1 a_1 (a_i a_3 a_4 z), \\
\\
a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 a_i a_3 a_4 z &= a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 (z a_4 a_3 a_i) = a_1 a_2 (z a_1 a_2) a_4 a_3 a_i \\
&= a_1 a_2 (a_4 a_2 a_1 z) a_3 a_i = a_1 a_2 a_4 (z a_2 a_1) a_3 a_i \\
&= (z a_2 a_1 a_4) a_2 a_1 a_3 a_i = (a_2 a_1 z) a_4 a_2 a_1 a_3 a_i \\
&= a_2 a_1 (z a_2 a_1 a_4) a_3 a_i = a_2 a_1 (a_2 a_1 z) a_4 a_3 a_i \\
&= a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1 (a_i a_3 a_4 z).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, in each case applying an appropriate $\sigma \in \text{Alt}_n$ and using Lemma 3.1, we obtain $a_i^2 a_j (a_k a_l a_r z) = a_j a_i^2 (a_k a_l a_r z)$ and $a_i a_j a_i a_j (a_k a_l a_r z) = a_j a_i a_j a_i (a_k a_l a_r z)$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and for any three different integers $1 \leq k, l, r \leq n$.

(ii) Suppose that n is odd. Let $z' = a_6 a_7 \cdots a_n$ (so z' is the identity element if $n = 5$). Since z is central in M , by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 a_1 a_2 z^2 &= a_1 a_1 a_2 (a_3 a_4 a_5 a_1 a_2) z' z = a_1 (a_2 a_3 a_1) a_4 a_5 a_1 a_2 z' z \\
&= (a_2 a_3 a_1) (a_4 a_5 a_1) a_1 a_2 z' z = a_2 (a_1 a_4 a_3) a_5 a_1 a_1 a_2 z' z \\
&= a_2 a_1 a_4 (a_1 a_3 a_5) a_1 a_2 z' z = a_2 a_1 (a_1 a_3 a_4) a_5 a_1 a_2 z' z \\
&= a_2 a_1 a_1 z^2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 z^2 &= a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 (a_3 a_5 a_4 a_2 a_1) z' z = a_1 a_2 (a_3 a_1 a_2) a_5 a_4 a_2 a_1 z' z \\
&= a_1 a_2 a_3 (a_5 a_1 a_2) a_4 a_2 a_1 z' z = a_1 (a_5 a_2 a_3) (a_2 a_4 a_1) a_2 a_1 z' z \\
&= (a_2 a_1 a_5) a_3 a_2 a_4 a_1 a_2 a_1 z' z = a_2 a_1 (a_2 a_5 a_3) (a_1 a_2 a_4) a_1 z' z \\
&= a_2 a_1 a_2 (a_1 a_5 a_3) a_2 a_4 a_1 z' z = a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1 z^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For $n = 4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 a_1 a_2 z^2 &= a_1 z^2 a_1 a_2 = a_1 (a_2 a_3 a_1 a_4) (a_2 a_3 a_1 a_4) a_1 a_2 \\
&= a_1 a_2 a_3 (a_4 a_2 a_1 a_3) a_1 a_4 a_1 a_2 = (a_2 a_1 a_4 a_3) a_2 a_1 a_3 a_1 a_4 a_1 a_2 \\
&= a_2 a_1 (a_1 a_3 a_4 a_2) a_3 a_1 a_4 a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1 a_1 a_3 a_4 (a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4) a_1 a_2 \\
&= a_2 a_1 a_1 z^2
\end{aligned}$$

and, by Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 z^2 &= z a_1 a_2 z a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1 (a_4 a_3 a_1 a_2 z) a_1 a_2 \\
&= a_2 a_1 (a_2 a_1 a_3 a_4 z) a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1 a_3 a_4 a_1 a_2 z \\
&= a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1 z^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if n is odd or $n = 4$ and for $\sigma \in \text{Alt}_n$ we have that

$$a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} z^2 = a_{\sigma(2)} a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(1)} z^2$$

and

$$a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} z^2 = a_{\sigma(2)} a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} a_{\sigma(1)} z^2.$$

So

$$a_i^2 a_j z^2 = a_j a_i^2 z^2 \quad \text{and} \quad a_i a_j a_i a_j z^2 = a_j a_i a_j a_i z^2$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and (ii) follows.

Suppose that $n \geq 6$ is even. By Lemma 3.1, $z^2 = a_2 a_1 a_3 z a_4 a_5 \cdots a_n$. Consequently, by (i), we get that

$$a_i^2 a_j z^2 = a_j a_i^2 z^2 \quad \text{and} \quad a_i a_j a_i a_j z^2 = a_j a_i a_j a_i z^2$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, as desired. ■

Lemma 3.5 *Let $z = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in M$. For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, let $F_{ij} = \langle a_i, a_j \rangle$. Then*

(i) *The elements in $z^2 F_{ij}$ are of the form*

$$z^2 a_i^{2n_1} a_j^{2n_2} w,$$

where $w \in \{1, a_i, a_j, a_i a_j, a_j a_i, a_i a_j a_i, a_j a_i a_j, a_i a_j a_i a_j\}$ and n_1, n_2 are non-negative integers.

(ii) The elements in $z^2(M \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} F_{ij})$ are of the form

$$z^2 s_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_2+1}^{m_1} a_{i_2+2}^{m_2} \cdots a_n^{m_{n-i_2}}, \quad (2)$$

where $i_1 < i_2 < n$, $s_{i_1 i_2} \in F_{i_1 i_2} \setminus (\langle a_{i_1} \rangle \cup \langle a_{i_2} \rangle)$, $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n-i_2}$ are nonnegative integers, and $\sum_{j=1}^{n-i_2} m_j > 0$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 3.2, z^2 is central in M . Now, by Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_i a_j a_i a_j a_i z^2 &= (a_j a_i a_j a_i) a_i z^2, \\ a_i a_j a_i a_j a_i a_j z^2 &= (a_j a_i a_j a_i) a_i a_j z^2 = a_j a_i a_j a_j a_i^2 z^2, \\ a_i a_j a_i a_j a_i a_j a_i z^2 &= (a_j a_i a_j a_i) a_i a_j a_i z^2 = a_j a_i^4 a_j^2 z^2, \\ (a_i a_j)^4 z^2 &= (a_j a_i)^2 (a_i a_j)^2 z^2 = a_i^4 a_j^4 z^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (a_i a_j)^2 a_i z^2 &= a_j a_i a_j a_i^2 z^2, \\ (a_i a_j)^3 z^2 &= a_j a_i a_j^2 a_i^2 z^2 = a_j a_i a_i^2 a_j^2 z^2, \\ (a_i a_j)^3 a_i z^2 &= a_j a_i^4 a_j^2 z^2 = a_j a_j^2 a_i^4 z^2, \\ (a_i a_j)^4 z^2 &= a_i^4 a_j^4 z^2 = a_j^4 a_i^4 z^2, \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The above easily implies that the elements in $z^2 F_{ij}$ are of the form

$$z^2 a_i^{2n_1} a_j^{2n_2} w,$$

where $w \in \{1, a_i, a_j, a_i a_j, a_j a_i, a_i a_j a_i, a_j a_i a_j, a_i a_j a_i a_j\}$ and n_1, n_2 are nonnegative integers.

(ii) Let $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i, j\}$. Then, by (2) and Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4, it is easy to see that

$$a_k z^2 (F_{ij} \setminus (\langle a_i \rangle \cup \langle a_j \rangle)) = z^2 (F_{ij} \setminus (\langle a_i \rangle \cup \langle a_j \rangle)) a_k, \quad (3)$$

for all $n \geq 4$.

Let $s \in M \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} F_{ij}$. Then $s = a_{j_1} a_{j_2} \cdots a_{j_k}$, where $\{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$ is a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality ≥ 3 . We shall prove that $z^2 s$ is of the form (2) by induction on the total degree $k \geq 3$ of s . For $k = 3$, we have that j_1, j_2, j_3 are three different elements and, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2,

$$z^2 a_{j_1} a_{j_2} a_{j_3} = z^2 a_{j_2} a_{j_3} a_{j_1} = z^2 a_{j_3} a_{j_1} a_{j_2},$$

thus the result follows in this case.

Suppose that $k > 3$ and that the result is true for all elements in $M \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} F_{ij}$ of total degree less than k . Then either $a_{j_2} \cdots a_{j_k} \in F_{i_1 i_2} \setminus (\langle a_{i_1} \rangle \cup \langle a_{i_2} \rangle)$, for some $i_1 < i_2$, or $a_{j_2} \cdots a_{j_k} \in M \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} F_{ij}$. By the induction hypothesis

$$z^2 a_{j_2} \cdots a_{j_k} = z^2 s_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_2+1}^{m_1} a_{i_2+2}^{m_2} \cdots a_n^{m_{n-i_2}},$$

where $i_1 < i_2 \leq n$, $s_{i_1 i_2} \in F_{i_1 i_2} \setminus (\langle a_{i_1} \rangle \cup \langle a_{i_2} \rangle)$ and $m_1, \dots, m_{n-i_2} \geq 0$. We may assume that $j_1 \notin \{i_1, i_2\}$ and, by (3),

$$z^2 s = z^2 s_{i_1 i_2} a_{j_1} a_{i_2+1}^{m_1} a_{i_2+2}^{m_2} \cdots a_n^{m_{n-i_2}}.$$

Suppose that $j_1 < i_2$. In this case, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i_2} a_{i_1} a_{i_2} a_{j_1} z^2 &= a_{i_2} (a_{i_2} a_{j_1} a_{i_1}) z^2 = a_{i_2}^2 a_{j_1} a_{i_1} z^2, \\ a_{i_1} a_{i_2} a_{i_1} a_{j_1} z^2 &= a_{i_1} (a_{i_1} a_{j_1} a_{i_2}) z^2 = a_{i_1}^2 a_{j_1} a_{i_2} z^2, \\ (a_{i_1} a_{i_2})^2 a_{j_1} z^2 &= a_{i_1} a_{i_2} (a_{i_2} a_{j_1} a_{i_1}) z^2 = (a_{i_2}^2) a_{i_1} a_{j_1} a_{i_1} z^2, \\ (a_{i_1} a_{i_2})^2 a_{i_1} a_{j_1} z^2 &= a_{i_1} a_{i_2} (a_{i_1}^2 a_{j_1} a_{i_2}) z^2 = (a_{i_1}^2) a_{i_1} a_{i_2} a_{j_1} a_{i_2} z^2 \\ &= a_{i_1}^2 (a_{j_1} a_{i_1} a_{i_2}) a_{i_2} z^2 = a_{i_1}^2 (a_{i_2}^2) a_{j_1} a_{i_1} z^2, \\ (a_{i_1} a_{i_2})^3 a_{j_1} z^2 &= a_{i_1} a_{i_2} (a_{i_2}^2 a_{i_1} a_{j_1} a_{i_1}) z^2 = (a_{i_2}^2) a_{i_1} a_{i_2} a_{i_1} a_{j_1} a_{i_1} z^2 \\ &= a_{i_2}^2 a_{i_1} (a_{i_1} a_{j_1} a_{i_2}) a_{i_1} z^2 = (a_{i_1}^2) a_{i_2}^2 a_{j_1} a_{i_2} a_{i_1} z^2 \\ &= a_{i_1}^2 a_{i_2}^2 (a_{i_1} a_{j_1} a_{i_2}) z^2, \\ (a_{i_1} a_{i_2})^3 a_{i_1} a_{j_1} z^2 &= a_{i_1} a_{i_2} (a_{i_1}^2 a_{i_2}^2 a_{j_1} a_{i_1}) z^2 = (a_{i_1}^2 a_{i_2}^2) a_{i_1} a_{i_2} a_{j_1} a_{i_1} z^2 \\ &= a_{i_1}^2 a_{i_2}^2 a_{i_1} (a_{j_1} a_{i_1} a_{i_2}) z^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.4 and (2), the result follows, in this case.

Suppose that $j_1 > i_2$. By Lemma 3.4, $s_{i_1 i_2} \in (F_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_1} a_{i_2}) \cup (F_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_2} a_{i_1})$. Note that if $i_2 < k < j_1$, then

$$z a_{i_1} a_{i_2} a_{j_1} a_k = z a_{i_2} a_{i_1} a_k a_{j_1} \text{ and } z a_{i_2} a_{i_1} a_{j_1} a_k = z a_{i_1} a_{i_2} a_k a_{j_1}.$$

Therefore (3) implies that $z^2 s$ is of the form (2). Thus the result follows by induction. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 3.6 *Suppose that $n \geq 6$ is even. Let $z = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \in M$. For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, let $F_{ij} = \langle a_i, a_j \rangle$. Let k, l, r be three different integers such that $1 \leq k, l, r \leq n$. Then*

(i) $(a_k a_l a_r z) a_i = a_i (a_k a_l a_r z)$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k, l, r\}$.

(ii) $(a_k a_l a_r z) a_i = a_i (a_l a_k a_r z)$, for all $i \in \{k, l, r\}$.

(iii) The elements in $a_k a_l a_r z F_{ij}$ are of the form

$$a_k a_l a_r z a_i^{2n_1} a_j^{2n_2} w,$$

where $w \in \{1, a_i, a_j, a_i a_j, a_j a_i, a_i a_j a_i, a_j a_i a_j, a_i a_j a_i a_j\}$ and n_1, n_2 are non-negative integers.

(iv) The elements in $a_k a_l a_r z (M \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} F_{ij})$ are of the form

$$a_k a_l a_r z s_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_2+1}^{m_1} a_{i_2+2}^{m_2} \cdots a_n^{m_{n-i_2}},$$

where $i_1 < i_2 < n$, $s_{i_1 i_2} \in F_{i_1 i_2} \setminus (\langle a_{i_1} \rangle \cup \langle a_{i_2} \rangle)$, $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n-i_2}$ are nonnegative integers, and $\sum_{j=1}^{n-i_2} m_j > 0$.

Proof. (i) Let $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{k, l, r\}$. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_k a_l a_r z) a_i &= a_k (z a_l a_r) a_i = a_k z (a_i a_l a_r) = a_k (a_i a_l z) a_r \\ &= (a_i a_l a_k) z a_r = a_i (z a_l a_k) a_r = a_i (a_k a_l a_r z). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $i \in \{k, l, r\}$. By Lemma 3.1, we may assume that $i = k$, and we have

$$(a_k a_l a_r z) a_k = a_k (z a_l a_r) a_k = a_k z (a_k a_l a_r) = a_k (a_l a_k a_r z).$$

The proof of (iii) and (iv) is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.5. Namely, it is obtained by using (i) and (ii) in place of the fact that z^2 is central and using Lemma 3.4(i) in place of Lemma 3.4(ii). ■

Lemma 3.7 Suppose that $n \geq 6$ is even. Then

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq r \leq n} (Mz \cap Mza_r) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (Ma_i a_j a_k z \cup Ma_j a_i a_k z).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we have that

$$a_1 a_2 a_3 z = z a_2 a_1 a_3 = a_2 a_1 z a_3.$$

Note that if $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ are three different integers then, since $n \geq 6$, there exists $\sigma \in \text{Alt}_n$ such that $\sigma(1) = i$, $\sigma(2) = j$ and $\sigma(3) = k$. Therefore

$$a_i a_j a_k z \in \bigcup_{1 \leq r \leq n} (Mz \cap Mza_r), \quad (4)$$

for all different $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$.

Suppose that $\bigcup_{1 \leq r \leq n} (Mz \cap Mza_r) \not\subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (Ma_i a_j a_k z \cup Ma_j a_i a_k z)$. Let $s \in \bigcup_{1 \leq r \leq n} (Mz \cap Mza_r) \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (Ma_i a_j a_k z \cup Ma_j a_i a_k z)$ be an element of minimal length. There exist $1 \leq r \leq n$, $s' = a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_{k-1}} \in M$ and $s'' = a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_k}$ such that $s = s' z a_r = s'' z$. Thus there exist $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m$ in the free monoid FM_n on $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, such that $w_1 = a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_{k-1}} a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n a_r$, $w_m = a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k} a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ and $w_i = w_{1,i} w_{2,i} w_{3,i} = w'_{1,i} w'_{2,i} w'_{3,i}$, where $w_{2,i}$ and $w'_{2,i}$ represent the element z in M for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $w_{1,j} = w'_{1,j+1}$ and $w_{3,j} = w'_{3,j+1}$, for all $j = 1, \dots, m-1$.

Let $g: \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, n+k\} \longrightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be such that $w_i = a_{g(i,1)} a_{g(i,2)} \cdots a_{g(i,n+k)}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let t be the least positive integer such that $a_{g(t,k+1)} a_{g(t,k+2)} \cdots a_{g(t,n+k)}$ represents z in M . Since n is even, $t > 1$ and $g(i, n+k) = g(t, n+k) = r$, for all $i = 1, \dots, t$. Hence

$$a_{g(1,1)} a_{g(1,2)} \cdots a_{g(1,n+k-1)}, \dots, a_{g(t-1,1)} a_{g(t-1,2)} \cdots a_{g(t-1,n+k-1)}$$

represent the same element in M . Furthermore, the length of $w_{3,t-1}$ is less than n and greater than 0.

Suppose that $w_{3,t-1} = a_r$. In this case, $w'_{2,t}a_r = a_{g(t,k)} \cdots a_{g(t,n+k)}$ and $w_{2,t-1}a_r$ represent the same element in M , but in M we have that $za_r \neq a_{g(t,k)}z$, a contradiction. Therefore the length of $w_{3,t-1}$ is greater than 1.

Suppose that $w_{3,t-1} = a_{g(t-1,n+k-1)}a_r$. In this case, $w_{2,t-1}a_{g(t-1,n+k-1)}a_r$ and $w'_{2,t}a_{g(t-1,n+k-1)}a_r = a_{g(t,k-1)}a_{g(t,k)} \cdots a_{g(t,n+k)}$ represent the same element in M . Since

$$a_{g(1,1)}a_{g(1,2)} \cdots a_{g(1,n+k-1)}, \dots, a_{g(t-1,1)}a_{g(t-1,2)} \cdots a_{g(t-1,n+k-1)}$$

represent the same element in M , we have in M that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{g(1,1)} \cdots a_{g(1,k-1)}z &= a_{g(1,1)}a_{g(1,2)} \cdots a_{g(1,n+k-1)} \\ &= a_{g(t-1,1)}a_{g(t-1,2)} \cdots a_{g(t-1,n+k-1)} \\ &= a_{g(t-1,1)}a_{g(t-1,2)} \cdots a_{g(t-1,k-2)}za_{g(t-1,n+k-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $a_{g(1,1)} \cdots a_{g(1,k-1)}z \in Mz \cap Mza_{g(t-1,n+k-1)}$. By the choice of s , we have that

$$a_{g(1,1)} \cdots a_{g(1,k-1)}z \in \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (Ma_i a_j a_k z \cup Ma_j a_i a_k z).$$

Since $s = a_{g(1,1)} \cdots a_{g(1,k-1)}za_r$, by Lemma 3.6 (i) and (ii),

$$s \in \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (Ma_i a_j a_k z \cup Ma_j a_i a_k z),$$

a contradiction. Therefore the length of $w_{3,t-1}$ is greater than 2.

Thus $w_{3,t-1} = a_{g(t-1,n+k-l)} \cdots a_{g(t-1,n+k-1)}a_r$ for some $1 < l < n$. In this case,

$$w'_{2,t}a_{g(t-1,n+k-l)} \cdots a_{g(t-1,n+k-1)}a_r = a_{g(t,k-l)} \cdots a_{g(t,k-1)}a_{g(t,k)} \cdots a_{g(t,n+k)}.$$

Since $a_{g(t,k+1)} \cdots a_{g(t,n+k)}$ represents z in M and $l < n$, we have that $g(t-1, n+k-l), \dots, g(t-1, n+k-1), r$ are $l+1$ different integers. Hence $s \in Mza_{g(t-1,n+k-l)} \cdots a_{g(t-1,n+k-1)}a_r$. By Lemma 3.1,

$$s \in \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (Ma_i a_j a_k z \cup Ma_j a_i a_k z),$$

a contradiction. Therefore

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq r \leq n} (Mz \cap Mza_r) \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (Ma_i a_j a_k z \cup Ma_j a_i a_k z).$$

By (4), the result follows. ■

Lemma 3.8 Suppose that $n \geq 6$ is even. Let $s = a_{j_1}a_{j_2} \cdots a_{j_m} \in M \setminus MzM$ such that

$$sz \notin \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (Ma_i a_j a_k z \cup Ma_j a_i a_k z).$$

Then, for $s_1, s_2 \in M$, $sz = s_1zs_2$ implies that $s_1s_2 = s$.

Proof. Let $s_1, s_2 \in M$ such that $sz = s_1zs_2$. Then, by an easy degree argument, $s_1 = a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k}$ and $s_2 = a_{i_{k+1}} \cdots a_{i_m}$ for some k and some $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_m}$. Thus there exist $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t$ in the free monoid FM_n on $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, such that $w_i = w_{1,i}w_{2,i}w_{3,i} = w'_{1,i}w'_{2,i}w'_{3,i}$, where $w_{2,i}$ and $w'_{2,i}$ represent the element z in M for all $i = 1, \dots, t$, $w_{1,j} = w'_{1,j+1}$ and $w_{3,j} = w'_{3,j+1}$, for all $j = 1, \dots, t-1$, and $w'_{1,1} = a_{j_1}a_{j_2} \cdots a_{j_m}$, $w'_{3,1} = 1$, $w_{1,t} = a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k}$ and $w_{3,t} = a_{i_{k+1}} \cdots a_{i_m}$. Thus, $w_1 = a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_m}w'_{2,1}$ and $w_t = a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_k}w_{2,t}a_{i_{k+1}} \cdots a_{i_m}$. It is enough to prove that $w_{1,i}w_{3,i} = a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_m}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, t$, by induction on t .

If the two subwords $w_{2,1}$ and $w'_{2,1}$ of the word $w_1 = a_{j_1}a_{j_2} \cdots a_{j_m}w'_{2,1} = w_{1,1}w_{2,1}w_{3,1}$ do not overlap, then $w_{2,1}$ is a subword of $a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_m}$, which is not possible because the latter represents s in M and $s \notin MzM$. Therefore they overlap and hence the degree of $w_{3,1}$ is less than n and $w_{3,1}$ is a product of distinct letters. Since w_1 represents sz in M and $sz \notin \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (Ma_ia_ja_kz \cup Ma_ja_ia_kz)$, it follows that $w_{3,1}$ cannot have degree 1 by Lemma 3.7 and it cannot have degree greater than 2 by Lemma 3.1. Hence, the degree of $w_{3,1}$ is 0 or 2. In the former case, clearly $w_{1,1}w_{3,1} = w_{1,1} = w'_{1,1} = a_{j_1}a_{j_2} \cdots a_{j_m}$. Suppose that $w_{3,1}$ has degree 2. From the equality of words $a_{j_1}a_{j_2} \cdots a_{j_m}w'_{2,1} = w_{1,1}w_{2,1}w_{3,1}$ it follows that $a_{j_{m-1}}a_{j_m}w'_{2,1} = w_{2,1}w_{3,1}$. Since in M $a_ia_jz = za_ka_l$ if and only if $i \neq j$ and $(i, j) = (k, l)$, this implies that $w_{3,1} = a_{j_{m-1}}a_{j_m}$ and clearly $w_{1,1} = a_{j_1}a_{j_2} \cdots a_{j_{m-2}}$. Hence, in both cases, $w_{1,1}w_{3,1} = a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_m}$. Suppose that $t > 1$ and $w_{1,i}w_{3,i} = a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_m}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, t-1$.

We have that $w'_{1,t} = w_{1,t-1} = a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_q}$ and $w'_{3,t} = w_{3,t-1} = a_{j_{q+1}} \cdots a_{j_m}$, for some $0 \leq q \leq m$. Hence $w_t = a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_q}w'_{2,t}a_{j_{q+1}} \cdots a_{j_m} = w_{1,t}w_{2,t}w_{3,t}$. Since $a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_m} \notin MzM$ by the hypothesis, as above we get that the subwords $w_{2,t}$ and $w'_{2,t}$ of the word w_t have to overlap.

Let r be the absolute value of the difference of the lengths of the words $w_{1,t}$ and $w'_{1,t}$. Then $r < n$. The equality of words $w'_{1,t}w'_{2,t}w'_{3,t} = w_{1,t}w_{2,t}w_{3,t}$ implies that either $w'_{2,t}u' = uw_{2,t}$ or $u'w'_{2,t} = w_{2,t}u$ for some words u, u' of length r . Then all the generators involved in u (and also in u') are different. Since w_t represents sz in M and $sz \notin \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (Ma_ia_ja_kz \cup Ma_ja_ia_kz)$, by Lemma 3.1 we get that $r \leq 2$. If $r = 1$ then we get $za_p = a_pz$ in M for some p , which is impossible since n is even. Hence, $r = 0$ or $r = 2$. As above we can see in the both cases that $w_{1,t}w_{3,t} = a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_m}$. The result follows. ■

Let $I = \{s \in M \mid sM \subseteq Mz\}$ and $I' = \{s \in M \mid Ms \subseteq zM\}$. Clearly, I and I' are ideals of M . Let $I_1 = \{s \in Mz \mid sa_i \in Mz, \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $I'_1 = \{s \in zM \mid a_ia_s \in zM, \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and

$$T = \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (Ma_ia_ja_kz \cup Ma_ja_ia_kz).$$

Let u, u' be words in the free monoid FM_n on $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$. We say that u' is a one step rewriting of u if there exist u_1, u_2, u_3, u'_2 in FM_n such that $u = u_1u_2u_3$, $u' = u_1u'_2u_3$ and both u_2, u'_2 represent z in M_n .

Lemma 3.9 *Suppose that $n \geq 6$ is even. Then $I = I' = I_1 = I'_1 = T$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.6 (i) and (ii), we have that T is an ideal of M . Hence $T \subseteq I$. Suppose that these two ideals are different. Let $s \in I \setminus T$. Since $s \in I$, there exists $s' \in M$ such that $s = s'z$. We consider two cases.

Case 1: $s' \in MzM$.

Then let $s'' \in M$ be an element of minimal degree such that $s' \in Mzs''$. Thus there exists $t \in M$ such that $s' = tzs''$. Since $s = tzs''z \notin T$, we have that s'' has degree greater than or equal to 2. By Lemma 3.1 and the choice of s'' , there exists i such that $s'' \in a_i^2 M \setminus MzM$, so $s'' = a_i^2 a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_m}$ for some $m \geq 0$. Let $s_1 \in M$ be an element of minimal degree such that $s''z \in s_1zM$. Then there exists $s_2 \in M$ such that $s''z = s_1zs_2$. Since $s = tzs''z = tzs_1zs_2 \notin T$, it follows that s_1 has degree greater than or equal to 2. Since $s'' \notin MzM$ and $s''z = s_1zs_2 \notin T$, by Lemma 3.8, we have that $s'' = s_1s_2$. Let

$$h = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } m = 0 \\ j_m & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $sa_h = tzs''za_h = tzs_1zs_2a_h$ and $s' = tzs'' = tzs_1s_2$. By the choice of s'' , if we rewrite tzs_1 , this has to be of the form $t's_1$ for some t' . Note also that all rewritings of s_1zs_2 must be of the form: $s'_1zs'_2$ where $s'_1s'_2 = s''$. Now we look at the one step rewritings of $s'_1zs'_2a_h$. If $s'_2 = 1$ then every one step rewriting of $s'_1zs'_2a_h$ cannot affect the last a_h because n is even. If $s'_2 \neq 1$ then every one step rewriting of $s'_1zs'_2a_h$ cannot affect the last a_h because the last generator in s'_2 is also a_h . By the choice of s_1 all possible rewritings of $s_1zs_2a_h$ are of the form s_1wa_h for some w . But this shows that $sa_h = tzs''za_h = tzs_1zs_2a_h \notin Mz$, a contradiction since $s \in I$.

Case 2: $s' \notin MzM$.

Then $s' = a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_m}$ for some $m \geq 0$. If $m = 0$ then $s = s'z = z$ and $sa_1 = za_1 \notin Mz$, a contradiction. Hence $m > 0$. Suppose $s_1, s_2 \in M$ are such that $s = s'z = s_1zs_2$. By Lemma 3.8 we get $s' = s_1s_2$. Using a similar argument as in Case 1, one can show that all the rewritings of sa_{j_m} cannot affect the last generator a_{j_m} . Therefore $sa_{j_m} = s'za_{j_m} \notin Mz$, a contradiction since $s \in I$. Therefore $I = T$.

Clearly, we have $I \subseteq I_1$. Let $s \in I_1$ and let $t \in M \setminus \{1\}$. Then $t = a_rt'$ for some $1 \leq r \leq n$ and $t' \in M$. Since $sa_r \in Mz \cap Mza_r$, by Lemma 3.7 it follows that $st = sa_rt' \in Tt' \subseteq T \subseteq Mz$. Therefore $s \in I$ and so $I = I_1$.

By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.6 (i) and (ii),

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (za_ia_ja_kM \cup za_ja_ia_kM) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (Ma_ia_ja_kz \cup Ma_ja_ia_kz) = T.$$

Thus, by symmetry,

$$I = I' = I_1 = I'_1 = T.$$

■

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we prove our main result, Theorem 1.1. So again, $n \geq 4$, $M = S_n(\text{Alt}_n)$ and $G = G_n(\text{Alt}_n)$.

Recall that ρ' is the binary relation on M , defined by $s\rho't$ if and only if there exists a nonnegative integer i such that $sz^i = tz^i$. By Lemma 3.2, z^2 is central in M . By Lemma 2.4, $\rho' = \rho$ is the least cancellative congruence on M .

Proof of (i). Let $\{i, j, k\}$ be a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality three. By Lemma 3.1, in G , we have

$$a_i a_j a_k = a_j a_k a_i = a_k a_i a_j. \quad (5)$$

By Lemma 3.4, in G , we also have $a_i a_j a_i a_j = a_j a_i a_j a_i$ and $a_i^2 a_j = a_j a_i^2$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Therefore $(a_i a_j a_i^{-1} a_j^{-1})^2 = 1$.

Let $\tau \in \text{Sym}_n \setminus \text{Alt}_n$. By (5), it is easy to see that in G we have that

$$(2\ 3)(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = a_1 a_3 a_2 a_4 \cdots a_n = a_{\tau(1)} a_{\tau(2)} \cdots a_{\tau(n)}.$$

Hence we have the following presentations of the group G .

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \text{gr}(a_1, \dots, a_n \mid a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}, \sigma \in \text{Alt}_n) \\ &= \text{gr}(a_1, \dots, a_n \mid a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{\sigma(n)}, \\ &\quad a_1 a_3 a_2 a_4 \cdots a_n = a_{\tau(1)} a_{\tau(2)} \cdots a_{\tau(n)}, \sigma \in \text{Alt}_n, \tau \in \text{Sym}_n \setminus \text{Alt}_n). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, by (5), $a_i(a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1})a_i^{-1} = a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1}$, for all $2 < i \leq n$. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1})a_1^{-1} &= a_1(a_1 a_2 a_3)(a_3^{-1} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1})a_1^{-1} \\ &= a_1(a_2 a_3 a_1)(a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1})a_1^{-1} \quad \text{by (5)} \\ &= a_1 a_2 a_3 a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_1^{-1} \\ &= a_1 a_2 a_3 (a_3^{-1} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1}) \quad \text{by (5)} \\ &= a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_2(a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1})a_2^{-1} &= a_2(a_1 a_2 a_3)(a_3^{-1} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1})a_2^{-1} \\ &= a_2(a_3 a_1 a_2)(a_2^{-1} a_3^{-1} a_1^{-1})a_2^{-1} \quad \text{by (5)} \\ &= a_2 a_3 a_1 a_3^{-1} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} \\ &= (a_1 a_2 a_3) a_3^{-1} a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} \quad \text{by (5)} \\ &= a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1}$ is a central element of order at most 2 in G . Let C be the central subgroup $C = \{1, a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1}\}$. Then G/C has the following presentations.

$$\begin{aligned} G/C &= \text{gr}(b_1, \dots, b_n \mid b_1 b_2 = b_2 b_1, b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n = b_{\sigma(1)} b_{\sigma(2)} \cdots b_{\sigma(n)}, \\ &\quad b_1 b_3 b_2 b_4 \cdots b_n = b_{\tau(1)} b_{\tau(2)} \cdots b_{\tau(n)}, \sigma \in \text{Alt}_n, \tau \in \text{Sym}_n \setminus \text{Alt}_n) \\ &= \text{gr}(b_1, \dots, b_n \mid b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n = b_{\sigma(1)} b_{\sigma(2)} \cdots b_{\sigma(n)}, \sigma \in \text{Sym}_n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence G/C is a free abelian group of rank n and, since $C = G'$, in G we have

$$a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} = a_i a_j a_i^{-1} a_j^{-1},$$

for all $i \neq j$, because there exists $\sigma \in \text{Alt}_n$ such that $\sigma(1) = i$ and $\sigma(2) = j$.

We now show that $a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} \neq 1$. For this, let FM_n be the free monoid on the set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. We define the map $f: \text{FM}_n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ by

$$f(a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_m}) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j < k \leq m \\ i_j \neq i_k}} \frac{i_k - i_j}{|i_k - i_j|}. \quad (6)$$

Note that if two words $w, w' \in \text{FM}_n$ represent the same element in M_n then $f(w) = f(w')$. In particular,

$$a_1 a_2 z^m \neq a_2 a_1 z^m$$

in M , for all m . Now, by Lemmas 3.2 and 2.4, we have that $a_1 a_2 \neq a_2 a_1$ in G , as desired.

As seen above, every a_i^2 is a central element in G . Let D be the central subgroup of G generated by $a_1^2, \dots, a_n^2$. Now G/D is finite because C is finite and $G/(CD) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$. Hence (i) follows.

Proof of (ii). By (i), $K[G]$ is a noetherian PI-algebra for any field K . Furthermore, by [16, Theorem 7.3.1] $\mathcal{J}(K[G]) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(K[C])K[G]$. Thus, if K is a field of characteristic $\neq 2$, then $\mathcal{J}(K[G]) = 0$. If K is a field of characteristic 2, then $\mathcal{J}(K[G]) = (1 - a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1})K[G]$, and $\mathcal{J}(K[G])^2 = 0$.

Proof of (iii). By Lemma 3.2, z^2 is central in M . Note that two elements of different forms (2) in M are different in G and they thus also differ in M . Also, two elements of M that have different forms (2) are different in G and thus also in M . Moreover, elements in (2) and (2) are different. Since z^2 is central in M , it follows from Lemma 2.4 that every right ideal of M contains z^{2k} for some positive integer k . Therefore, if $sx = tx$ for some $s, t \in z^2 M$ and $x \in M$, then $sz^{2k} = tz^{2k}$, for some k . We may assume k is even. If 4 divides n then $sz^{2k} = s(a_1 \cdots a_n)^k (a_n \cdots a_1)^k = sa_1^{2k} \cdots a_n^{2k}$ by Lemma 3.4(ii). If $n = 4j + 2$ for some j then $sz^{2k} = s(a_1 a_2 (a_1 \cdots a_n) (a_3 \cdots a_n))^k = s(a_1 a_2 (a_1 a_2 a_n \cdots a_3) a_3 \cdots a_n)^k = s(a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 a_3^2 \cdots a_n^2)^k = sa_1^{2k} \cdots a_n^{2k}$, by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.4(ii). If $n = 4j + 1$ then z is central and thus we get that $sz^{2k} = s(a_1 (a_1 \cdots a_n) a_2 \cdots a_n)^k = s(a_1 (a_1 a_n \cdots a_2) a_2 \cdots a_n)^k = sa_1^{2k} \cdots a_n^{2k}$, by Lemma 3.4(ii). Finally, if $n = 4j + 3$ then, again by Lemma 3.4(ii), $sz^{2k} = s(a_1 a_2 a_3 (a_1 \cdots a_n) a_4 \cdots a_n)^k = s(a_1 a_2 a_3 (a_3 a_1 a_2 a_4 \cdots a_n) a_n \cdots a_4)^k = s(a_1 a_2 a_1 a_2 a_3^2 \cdots a_n^2)^k = sa_1^{2k} \cdots a_n^{2k}$. So, by Lemma 3.5 and by the previous comments, we always get $s = t$. This and a symmetric argument show that $z^2 M$ is cancellative and also that the ideal $z^2 M$ embeds into M/ρ . Hence, again by Lemma 2.4, $G = (z^2 M) \langle z^{-2} \rangle$.

Since $K[G]$ is a PI-algebra and G is the group of fractions of M/ρ by Lemma 2.4, $K[M/\rho]$ is a finitely generated PI-algebra. Let $\overline{M} = M/\rho$. Since G is a nilpotent group, from [13, Theorem 4.3.3] and the comment following

it we know that $K[\overline{M}]$ is noetherian. By [15, Theorem 18.1], $\mathcal{J}(K[\overline{M}])$ is nilpotent. Therefore, there exists a positive integer m such that $\mathcal{J}(K[M])^m \subseteq I(\rho)$. By Proposition 2.6, $\mathcal{J}(K[M])^3 \subseteq K[z^2M]$. Since z^2M is cancellative, $I(\rho) \cap K[z^2M] = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{J}(K[M])$ is nilpotent.

Proof of (iv). Suppose that $n \geq 4$ is odd. We shall see that $a_1a_1a_2z \neq a_2a_1a_1z$ in M . In fact, the only words beginning with a_2 that represent the element $a_1a_1a_2z$ are of the form $ua_1a_1a_2$, where u is a word beginning with a_2 that represents $z \in M$.

Let $w_0 = a_1a_1a_2a_1 \cdots a_n \in \text{FM}_n$ and let $w \in \text{FM}_n$ be a word representing the element $a_1a_1a_2z \in M$. Then there exist $w_1, \dots, w_r \in \text{FM}_n$ with $w_r = w$ and $w_i = w_{1,i}w_{2,i}w_{3,i} = w'_{1,i}w'_{2,i}w'_{3,i}$ such that $w_{2,i}$ and $w'_{2,i}$ represent the element z in M , for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, r$, and $w_{1,j} = w'_{1,j+1}$ and $w_{3,i} = w'_{3,i+1}$, for all $j = 0, \dots, r-1$. We shall prove, by induction on r , that $w_{1,i}w_{3,i} = a_1a_1a_2$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, r$. It is clear that $w_{1,0} = a_1a_1a_2$ and $w_{3,0} = 1$, thus $w_{1,0}w_{3,0} = a_1a_1a_2$. Suppose that $i \geq 0$ and $w_{1,i}w_{3,i} = a_1a_1a_2$. Then $w_{1,i} \in \{1, a_1, a_1a_1, a_1a_1a_2\}$. We shall deal with four cases separately.

Case 1: $w_{1,i} = 1$. In this case, $w_{3,i} = w'_{3,i+1} = a_1a_1a_2$. Since $w_{i+1} = w_{1,i+1}w_{2,i+1}w_{3,i+1} = w'_{2,i+1}a_1a_1a_2$ and $w_{2,i+1}$ and $w'_{2,i+1}$ represent $z \in M$, we have that $w_{3,i+1} \in \{a_1a_1a_2, a_1a_2\}$. If $w_{3,i+1} = a_1a_1a_2$, then clearly $w_{1,i+1} = 1$ and $w_{1,i+1}w_{3,i+1} = a_1a_1a_2$. Suppose that $w_{3,i+1} = a_1a_2$. Since the degree in a_1 of w_{i+1} is 3 and the degree in a_1 of $w_{2,i+1}$ is 1, we have that $w_{1,i+1} = a_1$. Hence $w_{1,i+1}w_{3,i+1} = a_1a_1a_2$ in this case.

Case 2: $w_{1,i} = a_1$. In this case, $w_{3,i} = w'_{3,i+1} = a_1a_2$. Since $w_{i+1} = w_{1,i+1}w_{2,i+1}w_{3,i+1} = a_1w'_{2,i+1}a_1a_2$, we have that either $w_{1,i+1} = 1$ or $w_{1,i+1}$ begins with a_1 . If $w_{1,i+1} = 1$ then, using the degree in a_1 and that $w_{3,i+1}$ finishes with a_1a_2 , we see that $w_{3,i+1} = a_1a_1a_2$ and $w_{1,i+1}w_{3,i+1} = a_1a_1a_2$. Suppose that $w_{1,i+1}$ begins with a_1 . Then $w_{1,i+1} = a_1u$ for some $u \in \text{FM}_n$. Thus $uw_{2,i+1}w_{3,i+1} = w'_{2,i+1}a_1a_2$. Now $w_{3,i+1} \in \{1, a_2, a_1a_2\}$. If $w_{3,i+1} \in \{a_2, a_1a_2\}$, then using the degree in a_1 , we have that $uw_{3,i+1} = a_1a_2$. Suppose that $w_{3,i+1} = 1$. Then $uw_{2,i+1} = w'_{2,i+1}a_1a_2$ and, using the degree in a_1 and in a_2 , we have that $u \in \{a_1a_2, a_2a_1\}$. Since $w_{2,i+1}$ and $w'_{2,i+1}$ represent $z \in M$, $f(a_2a_1w_{2,i+1}) = 1$ and $f(w'_{2,i+1}a_1a_2) = -1$, where f is the map defined by (6), thus $u = a_1a_2$. Hence $w_{1,i+1}w_{3,i+1} = a_1a_1a_2$ in this case.

Case 3: $w_{1,i} = a_1a_1$. In this case, $w_{3,i} = w'_{3,i+1} = a_2$. Since $w_{i+1} = w_{1,i+1}w_{2,i+1}w_{3,i+1} = a_1a_1w'_{2,i+1}a_2$ and $w_{2,i+1}$ represents $z \in M$, we have that $w_{1,i+1}$ begins with a_1 . Then $w_{1,i+1} = a_1u$ for some $u \in \text{FM}_n$, and $uw_{2,i+1}w_{3,i+1} = a_1w'_{2,i+1}a_2$. Thus, using the degree in a_1 and in a_2 , we have $uw_{3,i+1} = a_1a_2$. Hence $w_{1,i+1}w_{3,i+1} = a_1a_1a_2$ in this case.

Case 4: $w_{1,i} = a_1a_1a_2$. In this case, $w_{3,i} = w'_{3,i+1} = 1$. Since $w_{i+1} = w_{1,i+1}w_{2,i+1}w_{3,i+1} = a_1a_1a_2w'_{2,i+1}$ and $w_{2,i+1}$ represents $z \in M$, we have that $w_{1,i+1}$ begins with a_1 . Then, as in Case 2, $w_{1,i+1} = a_1u$ for some $u \in \text{FM}_n$, and $uw_{3,i+1} = a_1a_2$. Hence $w_{1,i+1}w_{3,i+1} = a_1a_1a_2$ in this case.

Therefore, we indeed have shown in each of the four cases that $w_{1,i}w_{3,i} = a_1a_1a_2$, for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, r$. In particular, we have that $a_1a_1a_2z \neq a_2a_1a_1z$ in M .

Note that if $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ are different then there exists $\sigma \in \text{Alt}_n$ such that

$\sigma(1) = i$ and $\sigma(2) = j$. Therefore

$$a_i a_i a_j z \neq a_j a_i a_i z,$$

for all $i \neq j$, in M .

Since n is odd, z is central in M and, by Lemma 3.4, $(a_i a_i a_j - a_j a_i a_i) z^2 = 0$. Therefore $(a_i a_i a_j - a_j a_i a_i) z \in \mathcal{B}(K[M]) \setminus \{0\}$, for all $i \neq j$ and for any field K .

Let $\bar{\rho} = \rho \cap (zM \times zM)$. So $I(\bar{\rho}) = \text{lin}_K \{s - t \mid s, t \in zM \text{ and } \exists i \geq 0, sz^i = tz^i\}$. Since z^2M is cancellative, it follows that $I(\bar{\rho})^2 = 0$.

Suppose that K has characteristic $\neq 2$. We have that $\mathcal{J}(K[G]) = 0$. Since $\mathcal{J}(K[\overline{M}])$ is nilpotent and G is a central localization of $\overline{M}$, we get $\mathcal{J}(K[\overline{M}]) = \mathcal{B}(K[\overline{M}]) \subseteq \mathcal{J}(K[G])$. Hence $\mathcal{J}(K[\overline{M}]) = 0$. Then, by Corollary 2.7,

$$\mathcal{J}(K[M]) = \mathcal{B}(K[M]) = I(\bar{\rho}).$$

Thus $\mathcal{J}(K[M])^2 = 0$.

Assume that $s, t \in M$ are such that $(s, t) \in \rho$. Because z^2M is cancellative, we know that $z^2s = z^2t$. From the proof of Lemma 3.5 it follows that s can be rewritten in finitely many steps, each step being a rewriting of one of the following types: $a_i a_j a_k \mapsto a_j a_k a_i$, $a_i a_j^2 \mapsto a_j^2 a_i$ and $a_i a_j a_i a_j \mapsto a_j a_i a_j a_i$, so that z^2s is in one of the forms given in this lemma. The same applies to the element z^2t . Since $z^2s = z^2t$, a degree argument shows then that these new forms of z^2s and z^2t are equal. Hence the forms of s and t are equal. It follows that $s - t \in K[M]YK[M]$, where

$$Y = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n \\ |\{i, j, k\}|=3}} \{a_i a_j a_k - a_j a_k a_i, a_i^2 a_j - a_j a_i^2, (a_i a_j)^2 - (a_j a_i)^2\}.$$

This implies that Y generates $I(\rho)$ as a two-sided ideal. Now, if $s'z, t'z \in zM$ are ρ -related, then also $(s', t') \in \rho$, so by the previous $s'z - t'z \in K[M]YK[M]$ because z is central. In particular, $I(\bar{\rho}) = \mathcal{J}(K[M])$ is a finitely generated ideal.

Suppose that K has characteristic 2. By Proposition 2.6, $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) \subseteq K[zM]$. Thus $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) = \mathcal{J}(K[zM])$. Note that $zM/\bar{\rho}$ is a cancellative semi-group and G is its group of fractions. Furthermore, $K[zM/\bar{\rho}] = K[zM]/I(\bar{\rho})$. By (iii), we have that $\mathcal{J}(K[M])$ is nilpotent. Hence

$$\mathcal{J}(K[M])/I(\bar{\rho}) = \mathcal{B}(K[zM])/I(\bar{\rho}) = \mathcal{B}(K[zM/\bar{\rho}]) = \mathcal{B}(K[G]) \cap K[zM/\bar{\rho}],$$

see [15, Corollary 11.5]. Let $\pi: zM \longrightarrow G/C$ be the composition of the natural maps

$$zM \hookrightarrow M \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/C.$$

Let η be the congruence defined on M by $s \eta t$ if and only if either $s = t$ or $s, t \in zM$ and $\pi(s) = \pi(t)$. Since $\mathcal{B}(K[G]) = \omega(K[C])K[G]$, it follows that $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) = I(\eta)$. In particular, $z(a_i a_j - a_j a_i) \in \mathcal{J}(K[M])$ for all i, j . Let Q be the ideal of $K[M]$ generated by all such elements. Then the set of all elements of the form $za_1^{i_1} \cdots a_n^{i_n}$, for nonnegative integers $i_1, \dots, i_n$, forms a basis of the

algebra $K[zM]/Q$. Therefore it embeds into the algebra $K[G/C]$, which is a commutative domain. It follows that $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) = Q$ and hence it is finitely generated.

Proof of (v). Let K be any field. Suppose that $n \geq 6$ is and n is even. We shall prove that $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) \subseteq K[T]$ (where T is as in Lemma 3.9). Suppose that $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) \not\subseteq K[T]$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}(K[M]) \setminus K[T]$ with $|\text{supp}(\alpha)| = m$. Let $\text{supp}(\alpha) = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$. Suppose that $s_1 \notin T$. Then, by Lemma 3.9, there exist i, j such that $s_1 a_j \notin Mz$ and $a_i s_1 \notin zM$. Hence $a_i s_1 a_j \notin zM \cup Mz$ and $a_i s_1 a_j \in \text{supp}(a_i \alpha a_j)$. But this is in contradiction with Lemma 2.6. Hence $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) \subseteq K[T]$.

We now prove that $K[T] \cap I(\rho) = 0$, i.e., T is cancellative. Let $s, t \in T$ be such that $s \rho t$. By Lemma 3.6 (i) and (ii), there exist $s', t' \in M$, three different integers $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ and three different integers $1 \leq l, p, q \leq n$ such that $s = a_i a_j a_k z s'$ and $t = a_l a_p a_q z t'$. Suppose that $l \notin \{i, j, k\}$. Since $s \rho t$, $s' \in M a_l M$. By Lemma 3.1, $(a_i a_j a_k z) a_l = a_i z a_j a_k a_l = a_i (a_k a_j a_l) z$. By Lemma 3.6 (i) and (ii), we may assume that $\{i, j, k\} = \{l, p, q\}$. Suppose that $s = a_i a_j a_k z s'$ and $t = a_i a_j a_k z t'$. In this case, by Lemma 3.6, $s = t$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1, we may assume that $s = a_i a_j a_k z s'$ and $t = a_j a_i a_k z t'$. Suppose that $s' \in \bigcup_{1 \leq r \leq n} \langle a_r \rangle$. In this case, since s and t have the same degrees with respect to every a_l , there exists $1 \leq r \leq n$, such that $s' = t' \in \langle a_r \rangle$, but $a_i a_j \neq a_j a_i$ in G , a contradiction. Therefore, $s' \notin \bigcup_{1 \leq r \leq n} \langle a_r \rangle$. Hence there exists different $1 \leq r, p \leq n$ and $s_1, s_2 \in M$ such that $s' = s_1 a_r a_p s_2$. By Lemma 3.6, there exists a permutation σ of $\{i, j, k\}$, such that $s = s_1 a_{\sigma(i)} a_{\sigma(j)} a_{\sigma(k)} z a_r a_p s_2$. By Lemma 3.1, we may assume that $\sigma(k) \notin \{r, p\}$. Now, by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\sigma(i)} a_{\sigma(j)} a_{\sigma(k)} z a_r a_p &= z a_{\sigma(j)} a_{\sigma(i)} a_{\sigma(k)} a_r a_p = a_{\sigma(j)} a_{\sigma(i)} z a_{\sigma(k)} a_r a_p \\ &= a_{\sigma(j)} a_{\sigma(i)} a_{\sigma(k)} a_p a_r z = a_{\sigma(j)} a_{\sigma(i)} a_{\sigma(k)} z a_p a_r. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Hence $s = s_1 a_{\sigma(j)} a_{\sigma(i)} a_{\sigma(k)} z a_p a_r s_2$. By Lemma 3.6, $s = a_j a_i a_k z s_1 a_p a_r s_2$, and since $t = a_j a_i a_k z t'$, $s = t$.

Therefore $K[T] \cap I(\rho) = 0$. Hence, if K has characteristic $\neq 2$, then since $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) \subseteq K[T]$ and $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) \subseteq I(\rho)$ by Corollary 2.7, it follows that $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) = 0$.

On the other hand, if K has characteristic 2 then $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) \subseteq K[T]$ implies that $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) = \mathcal{J}(K[T])$. Since T is a cancellative ideal in M and G is its group of fractions, in fact $G = T\langle z^2 \rangle^{-1}$, by (iii), we have that

$$\mathcal{J}(K[M]) = \mathcal{B}(K[M]) = \mathcal{B}(K[T]) = \mathcal{B}(K[G]) \cap K[T],$$

by [15, Corollary 11.5]. Let $\pi: T \longrightarrow G/C$ be the composition of the natural maps

$$T \hookrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/C.$$

Let η be the congruence defined on M by $s \eta t$ if and only if either $s = t$ or $s, t \in T$ and $\pi(s) = \pi(t)$. Since $\mathcal{B}(K[G]) = \omega(K[C])K[G]$, it follows that $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) = I(\eta)$. Note that $a_1 a_2 a_3 z + a_2 a_1 a_3 z \in K[T] \cap \mathcal{B}(K[G])$ and it is nonzero.

Let P be the ideal of $K[M]$ generated by all elements of the form $a_j a_i a_k z - a_i a_j a_k z$, with different i, j, k . By (7), $a_i a_j a_k z a_p a_q$ and $a_j a_i a_k z a_q a_p$ are ρ -related, for all $p \neq q$. By Lemma 3.6, $a_j a_i a_k z$ becomes central in $K[M]/P$. As at the beginning of the proof of cancellativity of T , if $s \in T$ then $s = a_i a_j a_k z w$ or $s = a_j a_i a_k z w$, for some $w \in M$, where i, j, k are minimal indices such that the degree of s in each of a_i, a_j and a_k is positive. Therefore $s - a_i a_j a_k z w \in P$. Furthermore, we may also assume that w is of the form $a_q^{i_q} \cdots a_n^{i_n}$ for some $q \geq \min\{i, j, k\}$ and nonnegative integers $i_q, \dots, i_n$. If $t \in T$ is such that $(s, t) \in \eta$, then s and t have the same degree in each generator and hence $s - t \in P$. It follows that $\mathcal{J}(K[M]) = I(\eta) = P$ and hence it is finitely generated.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

- [1] J. Cassaigne, M. Espie, D. Krob, J.-C. Novelli and F. Hivert, The Chinese monoid, *Int. J. Algebra Comput.* 11 (2001), 301–334.
- [2] A. Cavicchioli, D. Repovš, and F. Spaggiari, Topological properties of cyclically presented groups, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* 12 (2003), 243–268.
- [3] F. Cedó, E. Jespers and J. Okniński, Finitely presented algebras and groups defined by permutation relations, preprint.
- [4] F. Cedó, E. Jespers and J. Okniński, The radical of the four generated algebra of the alternating type, preprint.
- [5] F. Cedó and J. Okniński, Plactic algebras, *J. Algebra* 274 (2004), 97–117.
- [6] M. Edjvet and J. Howie, Intersections of Magnus subgroups and embedding theorems for cyclically presented groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 212 (2008), 47–52.
- [7] P. Etingof, T. Schedler and A. Soloviev, Set-theoretical solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, *Duke Math. J.* 100 (1999), 169–209.
- [8] W. Fulton, *Young Tableaux*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1997.
- [9] T. Gateva-Ivanova, A combinatorial approach to the set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation, *J. Math. Phys.* 45 (2004), 3828–3858.
- [10] T. Gateva-Ivanova and M. Van den Bergh, Semigroups of I -type, *J. Algebra* 206 (1998), 97–112.
- [11] J. Jaszewska and J. Okniński, Chinese algebras of rank 3, *Comm. Algebra* 34 (2006), 2745–2754.
- [12] E. Jespers and J. Okniński, Monoids and groups of I -type, *Algebras Repres. Theory* 8 (2005), 709–729.
- [13] E. Jespers and J. Okniński, *Noetherian Semigroup Algebras*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2007.
- [14] A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc and J. Y. Thibon, The plactic monoid, in: *Algebraic Combinatorics on Words*, Chapter 5, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002.
- [15] J. Okniński, *Semigroup Algebras*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.

- [16] D.S. Passman, The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings, Wiley, New York, 1977.
- [17] D.S. Passman, Infinite Crossed Products, Academic Press, San Diego, 1989.
- [18] W. Rump, A decomposition theorem for square-free unitary solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, Adv. Math. 193 (2005), 40–55.

F. Cedó
 Departament de Matemàtiques
 Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona
 08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain
 cedo@mat.uab.cat

E. Jespers
 Department of Mathematics
 Vrije Universiteit Brussel
 Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium
 efjesper@vub.ac.be

J. Okniński
 Institute of Mathematics
 Warsaw University
 Banacha 2
 02-097 Warsaw, Poland
 okninski@mimuw.edu.pl